UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,990	03/23/2004	Mark Maggenti	000211D13	4659
	7590 03/23/200 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO SAN DIEGO, O	OUSE DR.	TRAORE, FATOUMATA		
SAN DILOO, C	JA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2436	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/807,990	MAGGENTI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	FATOUMATA TRAORE	2436	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejectio	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	20102
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436			
oupervisory i atent Examiner, Art Offit 2430			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, "The Examiner's response to the arguments presented above was that Barnett was only intended to "show that a unique encryption code is generated based on sequential code" (e.g., see Page 3 of the 12/15/2008 Final rejection). However, this does not address that the claim language that recites portions of the "sequential code" to be included in the transport frame. There is no such disclosure within Barnett for the reasons expressed above. The Examiner cannot simply ignore the claim language of "encapsulating said first encrypted data frame in a first transport frame, said first transport frame comprisin~ a first portion and a second portion of said first sequential code" as recited in independent claim 1 (emphasis added). Because it is acknowledged that Alden and Citta are silent regarding the "sequential code" as claimed, and the feature of Barnett read upon by the "sequential code" is not included in a transport frame, the, combination of Alden, Citta and Barnett is deficient" The examiner respectfully disagrees and submit that Alden et al discloses that a first transport frame comprising a first portion and a second portion of said first sequential code((see Fig7 and * showing examples of relay frame and connection frame and column 10, lines 24-45 showing field 163 storing key exchange data).